

Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/126694/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Alodat, Tareq, Leonenko, Nikolai ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1932-4091> and Olenko, Andriy 2020. Limit theorems for filtered long-range dependent random fields. *Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes* 92 (8) , pp. 1175-1196.
10.1080/17442508.2019.1691211 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17442508.2019.1691211>
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17442508.2019.1691211>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.





Limit theorems for filtered long-range dependent random fields

Journal:	<i>Stochastics: An International Journal Of Probability And Stochastic Processes</i>
Manuscript ID	GSSR-2019-0078.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Alodat, Tareq; La Trobe University, Mathematics and Statistics Leonenko, Nikolai; Cardiff University, School of Mathematics Olenko, Andriy; La Trobe University, Mathematics and Statistics
Keywords:	Filtered random fields, long-range dependence, self-similar processes, non-central limit theorem, Hurst parameter
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification	60G60, 60F17, 60F05, 60G35

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Limit theorems for filtered long-range dependent random fields

Tareq Alodat^a, Nikolai Leonenko^b, and Andriy Olenko^a

^a Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University, Melbourne, VIC, 3086, Australia;

^bSchool of Mathematics, Cardiff University, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff CF2 4YH, UK

ARTICLE HISTORY

Compiled October 17, 2019

ABSTRACT

This article investigates general scaling settings and limit distributions of functionals of filtered random fields. The filters are defined by the convolution of non-random kernels with functions of Gaussian random fields. The case of long-range dependent fields and increasing observation windows is studied. The obtained limit random processes are non-Gaussian. Most known results on this topic give asymptotic processes that always exhibit non-negative auto-correlation structures and have the self-similar parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. In this work we also obtain convergence for the case $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and show how the Hurst parameter H can depend on the shape of the observation windows. Various examples are presented.

KEYWORDS

Filtered random fields; long-range dependence; self-similar processes; non-central limit theorem; Hurst parameter

AMS CLASSIFICATION

60G60; 60F17; 60F05; 60G35

1. Introduction

Over the last four decades, several studies dealt with various functionals of random fields and their asymptotic behaviour [4–6, 10, 11, 14, 19, 22, 41, 43]. These functionals play an important role in various fields, such as physics, cosmology, telecommunications, just to name a few. In particular, asymptotic results were obtained either for integrals or additives functionals of random fields under long-range dependence, see [2, 11, 23, 24, 29–31] and the references therein.

It is well known that functionals of Gaussian random fields with long-range dependence can have non-Gaussian asymptotics and require normalising factors different from those in central limit theorems. These limit processes are known as Hermite or Hermite-Rosenblatt processes. The first result in this direction was obtained in [39] where quadratic functionals of long-range dependent stationary Gaussian sequences were investigated. The pioneering results in the asymptotic theory of non-linear functionals of long-range dependent Gaussian processes and sequences can be found in [10, 37, 41–43]. This line of studies attracted much attention, for exam-

CONTACT A. Olenko. Email: a.olenko@latrobe.edu.au

ple, in [35] it was shown that the limiting distribution of generalised variations of a long-range dependent fractional Brownian sheet is a fractional Brownian sheet that is independent and different from the original one. Some statistical properties of the Rosenblatt distribution, as well as its expansion in terms of shifted chi-squared distributions were studied in [44]. The Lévy-Khintchine formula and asymptotic properties of the Lévy measure, were also addressed in [23]. Some weighted functionals for long-range dependent random fields were considered and limit theorems were investigated in a number of papers, including [15, 16, 30].

Linear stochastic processes and random fields obtained as outputs of filters are popular models in various applications, see [3, 17, 18, 45]. In engineering practice it is often assumed that a narrow band-pass filter applied to a stationary random input yields an approximately normally distributed output. Of course, such results are not true in general, especially when the stationary input has some singularity in the spectrum and the linear filtration is replaced by a non-linear one.

We recall the classical central-limit type theorem by Davydov [9] for discrete time linear stochastic processes.

Theorem 1.1. [9] *Let $V(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{t-j} \xi_j$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, where ξ_j is a sequence of i.i.d random variables with zero mean and finite variance (the $\{\xi_j\}$ are not necessarily Gaussian). Suppose that G_j is a real-valued sequence satisfying $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} G_j^2 < \infty$ and let $X_r^{(d)} := \sum_{t=1}^r V(t)$. If $\text{Var} X_r^{(d)} = r^{2H} \mathcal{L}^2(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $H \in (0, 1)$ and the function $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ is a slowly varying at infinity, then*

$$X_r^{(d)}(t) = \frac{1}{r^H \mathcal{L}(r)} \sum_{s=1}^{[rt]} V(s) \xrightarrow{D} B_H(t), \quad t > 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

in the sense of convergence of finite-dimensional distributions, where $B_H(t)$, $t > 0$, is the fractional Brownian motion with zero mean and the covariance function $B_H(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} (|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H})$, $t, s > 0$, $0 < H < 1$.

One can obtain an analogous result for the case of continuous time.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $V(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t-s) \xi(s) ds$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a linear filtered process, where $\xi(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a mean-square continuous wide-sense stationary process with zero mean and finite variance. Suppose that $G(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a non-random function, such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^2(t) dt < \infty$. Let $X_r^{(c)} := \int_0^r V(s) ds$. If $\text{Var} X_r^{(c)} = r^{2H} \mathcal{L}^2(r)$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $H \in (0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ is slowly varying at infinity, then*

$$X_r^{(c)}(t) = \frac{1}{r^H \mathcal{L}(r)} \int_0^{rt} V(s) ds \xrightarrow{D} B_H(t), \quad t > 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

in a sense of convergence of finite-dimensional distributions.

The equivalence of the statements for the discrete and continuous time follows from the results in Leonenko and Taufer [24] and Alodat and Olenko [2].

It was Rosenblatt [38] (see also Major [28], Taqqu [41]) who first proved that for a discrete-time Gaussian stochastic process $\{\xi_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, with zero mean and long-range dependence and the κ -th Hermite polynomials H_κ , the non-linear filtered process

$$V_{\kappa}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{t-j} H_{\kappa}(\xi_j),$$

satisfies the non-central limit theorem, that is for some normalising A_r it holds

$$\frac{1}{A_r} \sum_{s=1}^{[rt]} V_{\kappa}(s) \xrightarrow{D} Y_{\kappa}(t), \quad t > 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $Y_{\kappa}(t)$ is a self-similar process with the Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ (non-Gaussian, if $\kappa \geq 2$).

The limit processes $Y_{\kappa}(t)$, $t > 0$, are given in terms of κ -fold Wiener-Itô stochastic integrals, and are the fractional Brownian motions with the Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ if $\kappa = 1$.

The aim of this paper is to give an extension of the results of Rossenblatt [38], Major [28], Taqqu [41] for the case of random fields. Motivated by the theory of renormalisation and homogenisation of solutions of randomly initialised partial differential equations (PDE) and fractional partial differential equations (FPDE) (see, e.g. [1, 25–27]), we study the asymptotic behaviour of integrals of the form

$$d_r^{-1} \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} V(x) dx, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $V(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is a random field, $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an observation window and d_r is a normalising factor. The case when the limit process is self-similar with parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ is considered.

The parameter H plays an important role in analysing stochastic processes and can be used for their classification. In particular, stochastic processes can be classified according to the range of H to the Brownian motion ($H = 0.5$), a short-memory anti-persistent stochastic process ($H \in (0, 0.5)$) and a long-memory stochastic process ($H \in (0.5, 1)$). These three cases correspond to the three types of behaviour called $\frac{1}{f}$ noise, ultraviolet and infrared catastrophes by Taqqu [40]. The literature shows a variety of limit theorems with asymptotics given by non-Gaussian self-similar processes that exhibit non-negative auto-correlation structures with parameter $H \in (0.5, 1)$, see [15, 20, 30, 31, 41, 43] and references therein. However, there are only few results where asymptotic processes have $H \in (0, 0.5)$. In the case $H < 0.5$ processes exhibit a negative dependence structure, which is useful in applied modelling of switching between high and low values. Also, such processes have interesting theoretical stochastic properties. For example, in this case the covariance is the Green function of a Markov process and the squared process is infinitely divisible, which is not true for the case $H > 0.5$, see [12, 13].

The example of a non-Gaussian self-similar process with $H \in (0, 0.5)$ was given by Rossenblatt [38] where the asymptotic of quadratic functions of a long-range Gaussian stationary sequence was investigated. The result was generalised in [28] for sums of non-linear functionals of Gaussian sequences. In this paper we extend these results in several directions for more general conditions and derive limit theorems for functionals of filtered random fields defined as the convolution

$$V(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\|y - x\|) S(\xi(y)) dy,$$

where $G(\cdot)$, $S(\cdot)$ are non-random functions and $\xi(\cdot)$ is a long-range dependent random field.

In the limit theorems obtained in this paper the asymptotic processes have the self-similar parameters $H \in (\gamma(\Delta), 1)$, where $\gamma(\Delta) \geq 0$ depends on the geometry of the set $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. In the one-dimensional case $n = 1$, $\gamma(\Delta) = 0$ which coincides with the known results in the literature.

The rest of the article is organised as follows. In Section 2 we outline the necessary background. In Section 3 we introduce assumptions and give auxiliary results from the spectral and correlation theory of random fields. In Section 4 we present main results on the asymptotic behaviour of functionals of filtered random fields. In Section 5 we present some examples. Conclusions and some future research problems are presented in 6.

2. Notations

This section gives main definitions and notations that are used in this paper.

In what follows $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are used for the Lebesgue measure and the Euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$, respectively. The symbols C , ϵ and δ (with subscripts) will be used to denote constants that are not important for our discussion. Moreover, the same symbol may be used for different constants appearing in the same proof.

Definition 2.1. A real-valued function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is homogeneous of degree β if $h(ax) = a^\beta h(x)$ for all $a, x > 0$.

Definition 2.2. [7] A measurable function $\mathcal{L} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is slowly varying at infinity if for all $t > 0$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(tr)/\mathcal{L}(r) = 1$.

By the representation theorem [7, Theorem 1.3.1], there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $r \geq C$ the function $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{L}(r) = \exp \left(\zeta_1(r) + \int_C^r \frac{\zeta_2(u)}{u} du \right),$$

where $\zeta_1(\cdot)$ and $\zeta_2(\cdot)$ are such measurable and bounded functions that $\zeta_2(r) \rightarrow 0$ and $\zeta_1(r) \rightarrow C_0$, ($C_0 < \infty$), when $r \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ varies slowly, then $r^a \mathcal{L}(r) \rightarrow \infty$, and $r^{-a} \mathcal{L}(r) \rightarrow 0$ for an arbitrary $a > 0$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$, see Proposition 1.3.6 [7].

Definition 2.3. [7] A measurable function $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is regularly varying at infinity, denoted $g(\cdot) \in R_\tau$, if there exists τ such that, for all $t > 0$, it holds that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(tr)}{g(r)} = t^\tau.$$

Theorem 2.4. [7, Theorem 1.5.3] Let $g(\cdot) \in R_\tau$, and choose $a \geq 0$ so that g is locally bounded on $[a, \infty)$.

If $\tau > 0$ then

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq x} g(t) \sim g(x) \text{ and } \inf_{t \geq x} g(t) \sim g(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $\tau < 0$ then

$$\sup_{t \geq x} g(t) \sim g(x) \text{ and } \inf_{a \leq t \leq x} g(t) \sim g(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Definition 2.5. The Hermite polynomials $H_m(x)$, $m \geq 0$, are given by

$$H_m(x) := (-1)^m \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right).$$

The first few Hermite polynomials are

$$H_0(x) = 1, \quad H_1(x) = x, \quad H_2(x) = x^2 - 1, \quad H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x.$$

The Hermite polynomials $H_m(x)$, $m \geq 0$, form a complete orthogonal system in the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R}, \phi(\omega)d\omega) = \{S : \int_{\mathbb{R}} S^2(\omega)\phi(\omega)d\omega < \infty\}$, where $\phi(\omega)$ is the probability density function of the standard normal distribution.

An arbitrary function $S(\omega) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \phi(\omega)d\omega)$ possesses the mean-square convergent expansion

$$S(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_j H_j(\omega)}{j!}, \quad C_j := \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(\omega) H_j(\omega) \phi(\omega) d\omega. \quad (1)$$

By Parseval's identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_j^2}{j!} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} S^2(\omega) \phi(\omega) d\omega.$$

Definition 2.6. [43] Let $S(\omega) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \phi(\omega)d\omega)$ and there exists an integer $\kappa \geq 1$, such that $C_j = 0$ for all $0 < j \leq \kappa - 1$, but $C_{\kappa} \neq 0$. Then κ is called the Hermite rank of $S(\cdot)$ and is denoted by $HrankS(\cdot)$.

It is assumed that all random variables are defined on a fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$. We consider a measurable mean-square continuous zero-mean homogeneous isotropic real-valued random field $\xi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with the covariance function

$$B(r) := \mathbb{E}(\xi(0)\xi(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad r = \|x\|.$$

It is well known that there exists a bounded non-decreasing function $\Phi(u)$, $u \geq 0$, (see [15, 46]) such that

$$B(r) = \int_0^{\infty} S_n(ru) d\Phi(u),$$

where the function $S_n(\cdot)$, $n \geq 1$, is defined by

$$S_n(u) := 2^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) J_{(n-2)/2}(u) u^{(2-n)/2}, \quad u \geq 0,$$

where $J_{(n-2)/2}(\cdot)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order $(n-2)/2$, see [20, 46]. The function $\Phi(\cdot)$ is called the isotropic spectral measure of the random field $\xi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.7. The spectrum of the random field $\xi(x)$ is absolutely continuous if there exists a function $f(u)$, $u \in [0, \infty)$, such that

$$u^{n-1}f(u) \in L_1([0, \infty)), \quad \Phi(u) = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2) \int_0^u z^{n-1}f(z)dz.$$

The function $f(\cdot)$ is called the isotropic spectral density of the field $\xi(x)$.

The field $\xi(x)$ with an absolutely continuous spectrum has the following isonormal spectral representation

$$\xi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \lambda, x \rangle} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda\|)} W(d\lambda), \quad (2)$$

where $W(\cdot)$ is the complex Gaussian white noise random measure on \mathbb{R}^n , see [15, 20, 46].

Note that by (2.1.8) [20] we get $\mathbb{E}(H_m(\xi(x))) = 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}(H_{m_1}(\xi(x))H_{m_2}(\xi(y))) = \delta_{m_1}^{m_2} m_1! B^{m_1}(\|x - y\|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\delta_{m_1}^{m_2}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

Definition 2.8. A random process $X(t)$, $t > 0$, is called self-similar with parameter $H > 0$, if for any $a > 0$ it holds $X(at) \stackrel{D}{=} a^H X(t)$.

If $X(t)$, $t > 0$, is a self-similar process with parameter $H > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}(X(t)) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(X^2(t)) < \infty$, then $B(at, as) = a^{2H} B(t, s)$, see [20].

3. Assumptions and auxiliary results

This section introduces assumptions and results from the spectral and correlation theory of random fields.

Assumption 1. Let $\xi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be a homogeneous isotropic Gaussian random field with $\mathbb{E}\xi(x) = 0$ and the covariance function $B(x)$, such that $B(0) = 1$ and

$$B(x) = \mathbb{E}(\xi(0)\xi(x)) = \|x\|^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_0(\|x\|), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_0(\|\cdot\|)$ is a function slowly varying at infinity.

If $\alpha \in (0, n)$, then the covariance function $B(x)$ satisfying Assumption 1 is not integrable, which corresponds to the long-range dependence case [4].

The notation $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ will be used to denote a Jordan-measurable compact bounded set, such that $|\Delta| > 0$, and Δ contains the origin in its interior. Let $\Delta(r)$, $r > 0$, be the homothetic image of the set Δ , with the centre of homothety at the origin and the coefficient $r > 0$, that is $|\Delta(r)| = r^n |\Delta|$ and $\Delta = \Delta(1)$.

Let $S(\omega) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \phi(\omega)d\omega)$ and define the random variables K_r and $K_{r,\kappa}$ by

$$K_r := \int_{\Delta(r)} S(\xi(x)) dx \quad \text{and} \quad K_{r,\kappa} := \frac{C_\kappa}{\kappa!} \int_{\Delta(r)} H_\kappa(\xi(x)) dx,$$

where C_κ is given by (1).

Theorem 3.1. [22] Suppose that $\xi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfies Assumption 1 and $\text{Hrank} S(\cdot) = \kappa \geq 1$. If a limit distribution exists for at least one of the random variables $K_r/\sqrt{\text{Var} K_r}$ and $K_{r,\kappa}/\sqrt{\text{Var} K_{r,\kappa}}$, then the limit distribution of the other random variable also exists, and the limit distributions coincide when $r \rightarrow \infty$.

By Theorem 3.1 it is enough to study $K_{r,\kappa}$ to get asymptotic distributions of K_r . Therefore, we restrict our attention only to $K_{r,\kappa}$.

Assumption 2. The random field $\xi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, has the isotropic spectral density

$$f(\|\lambda\|) = c_1(n, \alpha) \|\lambda\|^{\alpha-n} \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{\|\lambda\|}\right),$$

where $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $c_1(n, \alpha) := \Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha}{2})/2^\alpha \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})$, and $\mathcal{L}(\|\cdot\|) \sim \mathcal{L}_0(\|\cdot\|)$ is a locally bounded function which is slowly varying at infinity.

Note that Assumptions 1 and 2 are connected by the so-called Tauberian-Abelian theorems [21]. In applications these two assumptions are usually considered to be equivalent and hence one of them might be sufficient in modelling various random data that exhibit long-range dependence properties. For example, if the spectral density $f(\cdot)$ is decreasing in a neighbourhood of zero and continuous (except at zero), then by Tauberian Theorem 4 [21] the both assumptions are simultaneously satisfied. However, in the general case, this equivalence is not true [4]. Therefore, the both assumptions are essential for formulating general results in this paper. One can find more details on relations between Assumptions 1 and 2 in [5, 21].

The function $K_\Delta(x)$ will be used to denote the Fourier transform of the indicator function of the set Δ , i.e.

$$K_\Delta(x) := \int_{\Delta} e^{i\langle u, x \rangle} du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 3.2. [22] Let $\xi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be a homogeneous isotropic Gaussian random field. If Assumptions 1 and 2 hold, $\alpha \in (0, n/\kappa)$, then for $r \rightarrow \infty$ the random variables

$$X_{r,\kappa}(\Delta) := r^{\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r) \int_{\Delta(r)} H_\kappa(\xi(x)) dx$$

converge weakly to

$$X_\kappa(\Delta) := c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_\Delta(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) \frac{W(d\lambda_1) \dots W(d\lambda_\kappa)}{\|\lambda_1\|^{(n-\alpha)/2} \dots \|\lambda_\kappa\|^{(n-\alpha)/2}}.$$

Here $\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}}$ denotes the multiple Wiener-Itô integral with respect to a Gaussian white

noise measure, where the diagonal hyperplanes $\lambda_i = \pm \lambda_j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, \kappa$, $i \neq j$, are excluded from the domain of integration.

Assumption 3. [15] Let $\vartheta(x) = \vartheta(\|x\|)$ be a radial continuous function positive for $\|x\| > 0$ and such that for $\alpha \in (0, n/\kappa)$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} \frac{\vartheta(r\|x\|)\vartheta(r\|y\|)dxdy}{\vartheta^2(r)\|x-y\|^{\alpha\kappa}} \in (0, \infty).$$

Let $u(\|\lambda\|) := c_1(n, \alpha) \mathcal{L}(1/\|\lambda\|)$, where $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ is from Assumption 2. In [20] and Section 2.10 [15] the case when the function $u(\|\lambda\|)$ is continuous in a neighbourhood of zero, bounded on $(0, \infty)$ and $u(0) \neq 0$, was studied. It was assumed that there is a function $\bar{\vartheta}(\|x\|)$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda_j\|^{\alpha-n} \left| \int_{\Delta(t^{1/n})} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa}, x \rangle} \bar{\vartheta}(x) dx \right|^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} d\lambda_j < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} \left| \int_{\Delta(t^{1/n})} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa}, x \rangle} \left(\frac{\vartheta(r\|x\|)}{\vartheta(r)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{u(\|\lambda_j\| r^{-1})}{u(0)}} - \bar{\vartheta}(x) \right) dx \right|^2 \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda_j\|^{\alpha-n} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} d\lambda_j = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Under these assumptions the following result was obtained.

Theorem 3.3. [15] *If Assumption 3 holds, then the finite-dimensional distributions of the random processes*

$$Y_{r,\kappa}(t) := \frac{1}{r^{n-\kappa\alpha/2}\vartheta(r)u^{\kappa/2}(0)} \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} \vartheta(\|x\|) H_{\kappa}(\xi(x)) dx \quad (4)$$

converge weakly to finite-dimensional distributions of the processes

$$Y_{\kappa}(t) := \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_{\Delta(t^{1/n})}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa}; \bar{\vartheta}) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda_j\|^{(n-\alpha)/2}},$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $\alpha \in (0, \min(\frac{n}{\kappa}, \frac{n+1}{2}))$ and $K_{\Delta}(\lambda; \bar{\vartheta}) := \int_{\Delta} e^{i\langle \lambda, x \rangle} \bar{\vartheta}(x) dx$.

4. Limit theorems for functionals of filtered fields

This section derives the generalisation of Theorem 3.3 when the integrand $\vartheta(\cdot)H_{\kappa}(\cdot)$ in (4) is replaced by a filtered random field.

Assumption 4. Let $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable real-valued homogeneous function of degree β and $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded uniformly continuous function such that $g(0) \neq 0$ in some neighbourhood of zero and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^2(\|u\|)g^2(\|u\|)du < \infty$.

We define the filtered random field $V(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, as

$$V(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\|y - x\|)H_\kappa(\xi(y))dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\|y\|)H_\kappa(\xi(x + y))dy, \quad (5)$$

where

$$G(\|x\|) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle x, u \rangle} h(\|u\|)g(\|u\|)du \quad (6)$$

is the Fourier transform of $h(\cdot)g(\cdot)$.

Remark 1. The weight function $G(\cdot)$ is introduced by using the Fourier transform of the product of $h(\cdot)g(\cdot)$. This factorisation represents two types of behaviour: the function $h(\cdot)$ gives homogeneous behaviour of degree β , where the bounded function $g(\cdot)$ is used to incorporate all other features. In the following, it will be shown that the limit process depends on the parameters β , $g(0)$ and $h(0)$. Namely, the degree β determines the normalisation in the non-central limit theorem up to a constant multiplier that involves $g(0)$ and $h(0)$.

Remark 2. Note that from the isonormal spectral representation (2) and the Itô formula

$$H_\kappa(\xi(x + y)) = \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x+y \rangle} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j) \quad (7)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\|y\|) \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x+y \rangle} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x \rangle} \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, y \rangle} G(\|y\|) dy \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \left(\sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} W(d\lambda_j) \right) \\ &= \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x \rangle} \hat{G}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{G}(\cdot)$ is the Fourier transform of the function $G(\cdot)$ that is defined by (6) and the stochastic Fubini's theorem [36, Theorem 5.13.1] was used to interchange the order of integration.

By (6) and Assumption 4 the isonormal spectral representation of $V(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
V(x) &= \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x \rangle} h(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|) g(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j) \\
&= h(1) \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x \rangle} \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^\beta g(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that the covariance of $V(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(V(x), V(y)) &= h^2(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x-y \rangle} \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^{2\beta} \\
&\quad \times g^2(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} f(\|\lambda_j\|) d\lambda_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Remark 3. By the homogeneity of $h(\cdot)$ and Lemma 3 in [22] it holds

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\alpha) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\Delta(\lambda)|^2 \frac{h^2(\|\lambda\|) d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{n-\alpha}} = h^2(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\Delta(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{n-\alpha-2\beta}} < \infty,$$

for $\alpha \in (0, n - 2\beta)$ and $\beta < n/2$.

Lemma 4.1. If $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\kappa$, $\kappa \geq 1$, are positive constants such that it holds $\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \tau_i < n - 2\beta$ and $\beta < n/2$, then

$$\mathcal{I}_\kappa(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\kappa) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} \frac{|K_\Delta(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa)|^2 \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^{2\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} d\lambda_j}{\|\lambda_1\|^{n-\tau_1} \dots \|\lambda_\kappa\|^{n-\tau_\kappa}} < \infty.$$

Proof. For $\kappa = 1$ we have $\tau_1 \in (0, n - 2\beta)$ and by Remark 3 we get the statement of the Lemma.

For $\kappa > 1$, let us use the change of variables $\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} = \lambda_{\kappa-1}/\|u\|$, where $u = \lambda_\kappa + \lambda_{\kappa-1}$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_\kappa(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\kappa) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n(\kappa-1)}} |K_\Delta(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa-2} + u)|^2 \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa-2} + u\|^{2\beta} d\lambda_{\kappa-1}}{\|\lambda_{\kappa-1}\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa-1}} \|u - \lambda_{\kappa-1}\|^{n-\tau_\kappa}} \frac{d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_{\kappa-2} du}{\|\lambda_1\|^{n-\tau_1} \dots \|\lambda_{\kappa-2}\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa-2}}} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n(\kappa-2)}} \frac{|K_\Delta(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa-2} + u)|^2 \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa-2} + u\|^{2\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa-2} d\lambda_j}{\|\lambda_1\|^{n-\tau_1} \dots \|\lambda_{\kappa-2}\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa-2}} \|u\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa-1}-\tau_\kappa}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}}{\|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa-1}} \left\| \frac{u}{\|u\|} - \tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \right\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa}}} du. \quad (9)$$

Note that the second integrand in (9) is unbounded at $\|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\| = 0$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} = u/\|u\|$ (in this case $\|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\| = 1$). If we split \mathbb{R}^n into the regions $A_1 := \{\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\| < \frac{1}{2}\}$, $A_2 := \{\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq \|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\| < \frac{3}{2}\}$, and $A_3 := \{\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\| \geq \frac{3}{2}\}$, then the last integral in (9) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}}{\|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa-1}} \left\| \frac{u}{\|u\|} - \tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \right\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa}}} \leq \sup_{\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \in A_1} \left\| \frac{u}{\|u\|} - \tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \right\|^{\tau_{\kappa}-n} \\ & \times \int_{A_1} \|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\|^{\tau_{\kappa-1}-n} d\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} + \sup_{\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \in A_2} \|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\|^{\tau_{\kappa-1}-n} \int_{A_2} \frac{d\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}}{\left\| \frac{u}{\|u\|} - \tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \right\|^{n-\tau_{\kappa}}} \\ & + \int_{A_3} \|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\|^{\tau_{\kappa-1}-n} \|\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\| - 1^{\tau_{\kappa}-n} d\tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\tau_{\kappa}-n} \int_0^{1/2} \rho^{\tau_{\kappa-1}-1} d\rho \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\tau_{\kappa-1}-n} \int_{A_2 - \frac{u}{\|u\|}} \|\hat{\lambda}_{\kappa-1}\|^{\tau_{\kappa}-n} d\hat{\lambda}_{\kappa-1} + \int_{3/2}^{\infty} \rho^{\tau_{\kappa-1}-1} (\rho - 1)^{\tau_{\kappa}-n} d\rho \\ & \leq C + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\tau_{\kappa-1}-n} \int_0^{5/2} \rho^{\tau_{\kappa-1}-1} d\rho + \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{d\hat{\rho}}{\hat{\rho}^{n+1-\tau_{\kappa}-\tau_{\kappa-1}}} = C < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_2 - \frac{u}{\|u\|} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda + \frac{u}{\|u\|} \in A_2\} \subset v_n\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)$, $v_n(r)$ is a n -dimensional ball with centre 0 and radius r .

Hence, by (9) and Remark 3 using recursion one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\kappa}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\kappa}) & \leq C \mathcal{I}_{\kappa-1}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\kappa-2}, \tau_{\kappa-1} + \tau_{\kappa}) \\ & \leq \dots \leq C \mathcal{I}_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \tau_i\right) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|K_{\Delta}(u)|^2 du}{\|u\|^{n-\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \tau_i - 2\beta}} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The following integral is finite*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\kappa} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{\kappa}}} |\hat{G}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa})|^2 \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa} f(\|\lambda_i\|) d\lambda_i < \infty.$$

Proof. As $f(\cdot)$ is an isotropic spectral density we can rewrite \mathcal{J}_{κ} as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_\kappa &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n(\kappa-1)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{G}((\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\kappa-1}) + \lambda_\kappa)|^2 f(\|\lambda_\kappa\|) d\lambda_\kappa \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa-1} f(\|\lambda_i\|) d\lambda_i \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n(\kappa-1)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\hat{G}|^2 * f)(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\kappa-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa-1} f(\|\lambda_i\|) d\lambda_i. \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\hat{G}|^2(\cdot) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f(\cdot) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, by Young's theorem [8] it follows that $\hat{G}_1^2(\cdot) = (|\hat{G}|^2 * f)(\cdot) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, using convolutions as in (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_\kappa &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n(\kappa-2)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{G}_1^2(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\kappa-1}) f(\|\lambda_{\kappa-1}\|) d\lambda_{\kappa-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa-2} f(\|\lambda_i\|) d\lambda_i \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n(\kappa-2)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\hat{G}_1^2 * f)(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\kappa-2}) \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa-2} f(\|\lambda_i\|) d\lambda_i \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n(\kappa-2)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{G}_2^2(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\kappa-2}) \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa-2} f(\|\lambda_i\|) d\lambda_i = \cdots = \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{G}_{\kappa-1}^2(\lambda_1) f(\|\lambda_1\|) d\lambda_1 < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{G}_{j+1}^2(\cdot) := (\hat{G}_j^2 * f)(\cdot) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Young's theorem and recursive steps. \square

Now we proceed to the main result.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\xi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be a random field satisfying Assumptions 1, 2 and functions $g(\cdot)$ and $h(\cdot)$ satisfy Assumption 4. Then, for $r \rightarrow +\infty$ the finite-dimensional distributions of

$$X_{r,\kappa}(t) := \frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{(2\pi)^n c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0) h(1)} \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} V(x) dx, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

converge weakly to the finite-dimensional distributions of

$$X_\kappa(t) := t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_\Delta((\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\kappa) t^{1/n}) \frac{\|\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\kappa\|^\beta \prod_{j=1}^\kappa W(d\lambda_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^\kappa \|\lambda_j\|^{(n-\alpha)/2}},$$

where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{n-2\beta}{\kappa})$ and $\beta < \frac{n}{2}$.

Remark 4. By the representation (8) in Remark 2 of the covariance function of $V(x)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(X_{r,\kappa}(t), X_{r,\kappa}(s)) &= \\
&= \left(\frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{(2\pi)^n c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0) h(1)} \right)^2 \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} \int_{\Delta(rs^{1/n})} Cov(V(x), V(y)) dx dy \\
&= \left(\frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{(2\pi)^n c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0)} \right)^2 \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} \int_{\Delta(rs^{1/n})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x-y \rangle} \\
&\quad \times \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^{2\beta} g^2(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} f(\|\lambda_j\|) d\lambda_j dx dy \\
&= \left(\frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{(2\pi)^n c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0)} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} \int_{\Delta(rs^{1/n})} e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x-y \rangle} dx dy \\
&\quad \times \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^{2\beta} g^2(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} f(\|\lambda_j\|) d\lambda_j \\
&= \left(\frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{(2\pi)^n c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0)} \right)^2 ts \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_{\Delta}((\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) rt^{1/n}) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} f(\|\lambda_j\|) \\
&\quad \times \overline{K_{\Delta}((\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) rs^{1/n})} \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^{2\beta} g^2(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} d\lambda_j.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the variance of $X_{r,\kappa}(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(X_{r,\kappa}(t)) &= \left(\frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{(2\pi)^n c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0)} \right)^2 t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^{2\beta} \\
&\quad \times |K_{\Delta}((\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) rt^{1/n})|^2 g^2(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} f(\|\lambda_j\|) d\lambda_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$Cov(X_{\kappa}(t), X_{\kappa}(s)) = ts \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_{\Delta}((\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) t^{1/n}) \overline{K_{\Delta}((\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) s^{1/n})}$$

$$\times \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^{2\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda_j\|^{\alpha-n} d\lambda_j$$

and

$$Var(X_\kappa(t)) = t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} |K_\Delta((\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) t^{1/n})|^2 \frac{\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^{2\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} d\lambda_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda_j\|^{n-\alpha}}.$$

Remark 5. Note that for $a > 0$ we have

$$X_\kappa(at) = at \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}}' K_\Delta((\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa) (at)^{1/n}) \frac{\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa\|^\beta \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda_j\|^{(n-\alpha)/2}}.$$

Using the transformation $\tilde{\lambda}_j = a^{1/n} \lambda_j$, $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$, and the self-similarity of the Gaussian white noise we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_\kappa(at) &= ta^{1-\frac{\beta}{n}+\frac{\kappa(n-\alpha)}{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}}' \frac{K_\Delta((\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\lambda}_\kappa) t^{1/n}) \|\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\lambda}_\kappa\|^\beta}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\tilde{\lambda}_j\|^{(n-\alpha)/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(a^{-\frac{1}{n}} d\tilde{\lambda}_j) \\ &= a^{1-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2n}-\frac{\beta}{n}} X_\kappa(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $X_\kappa(t)$ is a self-similar process with the Hurst parameter $H = 1 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2n} - \frac{\beta}{n}$.

Proof. By (5) the process $X_{r,\kappa}(t)$ admits the following representation

$$X_{r,\kappa}(t) = \frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{(2\pi)^n c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0) h(1)} \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\|y\|) H_\kappa(\xi(x+y)) dy \right) dx.$$

By (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X_{r,\kappa}(t) &= \frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{(2\pi)^n c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0) h(1)} \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(\|y\|) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}}' e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x+y \rangle} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j) \right] dy \Big) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

By Assumption 2 it follows $\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa})$. By Assumption 4, (6) and Parseval's theorem $G(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So, one can apply the stochastic Fubini's theorem to interchange the inner integrals in (12), see Theorem 5.13.1 in [36], which results in

$$X_{r,\kappa}(t) = \frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n} \mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{c_1^{\kappa/2}(n, \alpha) g(0) h(1)} \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}}' e^{i\langle \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa, x \rangle} \hat{G}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\kappa)$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j) dx. \quad (13)$$

Note that by Lemma 4.2 the integrand in (13) belongs to $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa})$. Then, it follows from the stochastic Fubini's theorem, and Assumption 4 that

$$X_{r,\kappa}(t) = \frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2-n}\mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{c_1^{\kappa/2}(n,\alpha)g(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_{\Delta}(rt^{1/n})(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa}) \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa}\|^{\beta} \\ \times g(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa}\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j),$$

where $K_{\Delta}(rt^{1/n})(\lambda) = \int_{\Delta(rt^{1/n})} e^{i\langle \lambda, x \rangle} dx$.

Note that $K_{\Delta}(rt^{1/n})(\lambda) = tr^n K_{\Delta}(\lambda rt^{1/n})$, where $K_{\Delta}(\cdot)$ is given by (3). Therefore,

$$X_{r,\kappa}(t) = t \frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2}\mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{c_1^{\kappa/2}(n,\alpha)g(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_{\Delta}((\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa})rt^{1/n}) \|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa}\|^{\beta} \\ \times g(\|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\kappa}\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda_j\|)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda_j).$$

Using the transformation $\lambda^{(j)} = r\lambda_j$, $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$, and the self-similarity of the Gaussian white noise we get

$$X_{r,\kappa}(t) = t \frac{r^{\beta+\kappa\alpha/2}\mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{c_1^{\kappa/2}(n,\alpha)g(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n}) \\ \times (r^{-1}\|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|)^{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda^{(j)}\|/r)} g(r^{-1}\|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda^{(j)}/r) \\ = t \frac{r^{\kappa\alpha/2}\mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)r^{-n\kappa/2}}{c_1^{\kappa/2}(n,\alpha)g(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n}) \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{\beta} \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{f(\|\lambda^{(j)}\|/r)} g(r^{-1}\|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda^{(j)}) = t \frac{r^{\kappa(\alpha-n)/2}\mathcal{L}^{-\kappa/2}(r)}{g(0)} \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n}) \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{(\|\lambda^{(j)}\|/r)^{\alpha-n}\mathcal{L}(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times g(r^{-1} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda^{(j)}) = \frac{t}{g(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} \frac{K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}) t^{1/n})}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{(n-\alpha)/2}} \\ & \times \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)/\mathcal{L}(r)} g(r^{-1} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|) \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} W(d\lambda^{(j)}). \end{aligned}$$

By the isometry property of multiple stochastic integrals

$$\begin{aligned} R_r &:= \mathbb{E} (X_{r,\kappa}(t) - X_{\kappa}(t))^2 = t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}} \frac{|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}) t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \\ & \times \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} (Q_r(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) - 1)^2 d\lambda^{(1)} \dots d\lambda^{(\kappa)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_r(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) := \frac{g(r^{-1} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|)}{g(0)} \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \mathcal{L}(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)/\mathcal{L}(r)}.$$

Note that by Assumptions 2, 4, and properties of slowly varying functions $Q_r(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)})$ converges to 1 pointwise, as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us split $\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}$ into the regions

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mu} &:= \{(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\kappa} : \|\lambda^{(j)}\| \leq 1, \text{ if } \mu_j = -1, \\ & \text{and } \|\lambda^{(j)}\| > 1, \text{ if } \mu_j = 1, j = 1, \dots, \kappa\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\kappa}) \in \{-1, 1\}^{\kappa}$ is a binary vector of length κ . Then, we can represent the integral R_r as

$$\begin{aligned} R_r &= t^2 \int_{\cup_{\mu \in \{-1, 1\}^{\kappa}} B_{\mu}} \frac{|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}) t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \\ & \times \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} (Q_r(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) - 1)^2 d\lambda^{(1)} \dots d\lambda^{(\kappa)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) \in B_{\mu}$ we estimate the integrand as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}) t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} (Q_r(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) - 1)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{2|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}) t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} (Q_r^2(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} \\
&\times \left(1 + \frac{g^2(r^{-1}\|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|)}{g^2(0)} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{\mu_j \delta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \frac{(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)^{\mu_j \delta} \mathcal{L}(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)}{r^{\mu_j \delta} \mathcal{L}(r)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where δ is an arbitrary positive number.

Using the boundedness of the function $g(\cdot)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} (Q_r(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) - 1)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} \\
&\times \left(1 + C \sup_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\kappa}) \in B_{\mu}} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{\mu_j \delta} \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \frac{(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)^{\mu_j \delta} \mathcal{L}(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)}{r^{\mu_j \delta} \mathcal{L}(r)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.4

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup_{\|\lambda^{(j)}\| \leq 1} (r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)^{-\delta} \mathcal{L}(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)}{r^{-\delta} \mathcal{L}(r)} = 1;$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup_{\|\lambda^{(j)}\| > 1} (r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)^{\delta} \mathcal{L}(r/\|\lambda^{(j)}\|)}{r^{\delta} \mathcal{L}(r)} = 1.$$

Therefore, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for all $r \geq r_0$ and $(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) \in B_{\mu}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} (Q_r(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)}) - 1)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha}} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta} \\
&+ \frac{2C|K_{\Delta}((\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)})t^{1/n})|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|\lambda^{(j)}\|^{n-\alpha-\mu_j \delta}} \|\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(\kappa)}\|^{2\beta}. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, if we choose $\delta \in (0, \min\{\alpha, \frac{n-2\beta}{\kappa} - \alpha\})$, the upper bound in (14) is an integrable function on each B_μ and hence on $\mathbb{R}^{n\kappa}$ too. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem $R_r \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof. \square

5. Examples

The objective of this section is to investigate the Hurst parameter H of the limit process $X_\kappa(t)$ in Theorem 4.3. The section provides simple examples where the range $(\gamma(\Delta), 1)$ for H is explicitly specified depending on the observation window $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Recall that $H = 1 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2n} - \frac{\beta}{n}$ and $\mathcal{I}_1(\kappa\alpha)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\kappa\alpha) = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|K_\Delta(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{n-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}}.$$

Example 5.1. Let $n = 1$ and Δ has the form $\Delta = [-b, a] \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $a, b > 0$. Using (3) one obtains

$$K_{[-b,a]}(\lambda) = \int_{-b}^a e^{i\lambda x} dx = \frac{e^{ia\lambda} - e^{-ib\lambda}}{i\lambda}.$$

Note that as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ it holds $|K_{[-b,a]}(\lambda)| \rightarrow b + a < \infty$.

Now, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$$|K_{[-b,a]}(\lambda)| = \left| \frac{e^{ia\lambda} - e^{-ib\lambda}}{i\lambda} \right| \leq \frac{|e^{ia\lambda}| + |e^{-ib\lambda}|}{|i\lambda|} = \frac{2}{|\lambda|}.$$

Let $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_\kappa = \alpha$. Then, by (10) $\mathcal{I}_\kappa(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\kappa(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) &\leq C \mathcal{I}_1(\kappa\alpha) = C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|K_{[-b,a]}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{|\lambda|^{1-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} \leq C_1 \int_{|\lambda| \leq C_0} \frac{d\lambda}{|\lambda|^{1-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} \\ &+ C_2 \int_{|\lambda| > C_0} \frac{d\lambda}{|\lambda|^{3-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} \leq C \int_0^{C_0} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} + C \int_{C_0}^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{3-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Note that the two conditions $1 - \kappa\alpha - 2\beta < 1$ and $3 - \kappa\alpha - 2\beta > 1$ are required to guarantee that, integrals in (15) are finite. The first condition implies $1 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2} - \beta < 1$ and the second one $1 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2} - \beta > 0$. So $\mathcal{I}_\kappa(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) < \infty$ if $H \in (0, 1)$.

Example 5.2. Let Δ be an n -dimensional ball of radius 1, i.e. $\Delta = v(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case

$$K_{v(1)}(\lambda) = \int_{v(1)} e^{i\langle \lambda, x \rangle} dx.$$

Note that as $\|\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$ it holds $|K_{v(1)}(\lambda)| \leq C < \infty$.

Now, as $\|\lambda\| \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$|K_{v(1)}(\lambda)| = C \left| \frac{J_{n/2}(\|\lambda\|)}{\|\lambda\|^{n/2}} \right| < \frac{C}{\|\lambda\|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}.$$

Let $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_\kappa = \alpha$. Then, by (10) $\mathcal{I}_\kappa(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\kappa(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|K_{v(1)}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{n-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} \leq C_1 \int_{\|\lambda\| \leq C_0} \frac{d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{n-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} \\ &+ C_2 \int_{\|\lambda\| > C_0} \frac{d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{2n-\kappa\alpha-2\beta+1}} \leq C \left[\int_0^{C_0} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} + \int_{C_0}^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{n-\kappa\alpha-2\beta+2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The two integrals in (16) are finite provided that $1-\kappa\alpha-2\beta < 1$ and $n-\kappa\alpha-2\beta+2 > 1$. It follows that $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n} < 1 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2n} - \frac{\beta}{n} < 1$, i.e. $H \in (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}, 1)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that for $n = 1$ the Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$ and one obtains the same result as in Example 5.1. For $n > 1$ we get $\gamma(v(1)) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n} < \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 5.3. Let $n = 2$, $\Delta = \square(1) = [-1, 1]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. In this case

$$K_{\square(1)}(\lambda) = K_{\square(1)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 e^{i(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)} dx_1 dx_2 = \frac{\sin \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\sin \lambda_2}{\lambda_2}.$$

Note that $|K_{\square(1)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)| \leq C$. When $\min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) > C_0 > 0$ we get

$$|K_{\square(1)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)| \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda_1| |\lambda_2|},$$

and if $\lambda_j > C_0 > 0$, $\lambda_i \leq C_0$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $i \neq j$, then

$$\sup_{\lambda_i, i \neq j} |K_{\square(1)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)| \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda_j|}. \quad (17)$$

Let us split \mathbb{R}^2 into the regions

$$A'_1 := \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\lambda_1| \leq C_0, |\lambda_2| \leq C_0\},$$

$$A'_2 := \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\lambda_1| \leq C_0, |\lambda_2| > C_0\},$$

$$A'_3 := \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\lambda_1| > C_0, |\lambda_2| \leq C_0\},$$

$$A'_4 := \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\lambda_1| > C_0, |\lambda_2| > C_0\},$$

where $C_0 > 0$.

Then $\mathcal{I}_1(\kappa\alpha)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\kappa\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \mathcal{I}_1^{(j)}(\kappa\alpha), \quad (18)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_1^{(j)}(\kappa\alpha) := \int_{A'_j} \frac{|K_{\square(1)}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{2-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}}$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$.

We will consider each term in (18) separately. The term $\mathcal{I}_1^{(1)}(\cdot)$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{(1)}(\kappa\alpha) &= \int_{A'_1} \frac{|K_{\square(1)}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{2-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} \leq C \int_{A'_1} \frac{d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2}{(|\lambda_1||\lambda_2|)^{1-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2}-\beta}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{|\lambda_1| \leq C_0} \frac{d\lambda_1}{|\lambda_1|^{1-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2}-\beta}} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is finite provided that $1 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2} - \beta < 1$, i.e. $H < 1$.

Using (17) the term $\mathcal{I}_1^{(2)}(\cdot)$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{(2)}(\kappa\alpha) &= \int_{A'_2} \frac{|K_{\square(1)}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{2-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} \leq C \int_{A'_2} \frac{d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2}{|\lambda_2|^2 (|\lambda_1||\lambda_2|)^{1-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2}-\beta}} \\ &\leq C \int_{|\lambda_1| \leq C_0} \frac{d\lambda_1}{|\lambda_1|^{1-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2}-\beta}} \int_{|\lambda_2| > C_0} \frac{d\lambda_2}{|\lambda_2|^{3-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2}-\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last integrals are finite provided that $1 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2} - \beta < 1$ and $3 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2} - \beta > 1$. It follows that $H \in (0, 1)$. Similarly, one obtains $\mathcal{I}_1^{(3)}(\kappa\alpha) < \infty$ when $H \in (0, 1)$.

Now, for the term $\mathcal{I}_1^{(4)}(\cdot)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^{(4)}(\kappa\alpha) &= \int_{A'_4} \frac{|K_{\square(1)}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\|\lambda\|^{2-\kappa\alpha-2\beta}} \leq C \int_{A'_4} \frac{d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2}{|\lambda_1|^2 |\lambda_2|^2 (|\lambda_1||\lambda_2|)^{1-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2}-\beta}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{|\lambda_2| > C_0} \frac{d\lambda_2}{|\lambda_2|^{3-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2}-\beta}} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is finite provided that $3 - \frac{\kappa\alpha}{2} - \beta > 1$. It follows that $H > 0$.

By combining the above results for (18), one obtains $\mathcal{I}_1(\kappa\alpha) < \infty$. Therefore, using $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_\kappa = \alpha$ and the inequality (10) we obtain that the result of Theorem 4.3 is true when $H \in (0, 1)$.

6. Discussion and possible extensions

In this paper, we studied the asymptotic behaviour of integral functionals of filtered random fields defined on increasing observation windows $\Delta(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $r > 0$. These

integral functionals are defined as convolutions of non-random kernels with non-linear transformations of long-range dependent fields. It was shown that the limits are non-Gaussian self-similar processes. The explicit form of the Hurst parameter H of the obtained limit processes was obtained. The parameter H was given for several examples on the set Δ . It was demonstrated that the range $(\gamma(\Delta), 1)$ for H depends on the geometric properties of Δ and, contrary to the majority of results available in the literature, H can take values in the interval $(0, \frac{1}{2})$.

The weight function $G(\cdot)$ given in (6) is defined as the Fourier transform of the product of $h(\cdot)g(\cdot)$. The radial function h has a homogeneous behaviour of degree $\beta > 0$. It would be interesting to obtain similar results for other classes of weight functions. Furthermore, all results in this paper were obtained for integral functionals of scalar random fields. It would be interesting to generalise these results for the case of integral functionals of vector random fields. For this case, one can use the recent reduction approaches introduced by Olenko and Omari [32, 33]. Another possible extension is to consider random fields defined on hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n such as a spheres. In this case one has to generalise recent asymptotic results obtained by Olenko and Vaskovych [34].

Acknowledgement This research was partially supported under the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects [DP160101366]. The authors are also grateful to the editor and referees for their suggestions that helped to improve the paper.

References

- [1] S. Albeverio, S.A. Molchanov, and D. Surgailis, *Stratified structure of the universe and Burgers' equation: A probabilistic approach*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 100 (1994), pp. 457–484.
- [2] T. Alodat and A. Olenko, *Weak convergence of weighted additive functionals of long-range dependent fields*, Theory Probab. Math. Statist. 97 (2017), pp. 9–23.
- [3] H.M. Alomari, A. Ayache, M. Fradon, and A. Olenko, *Estimation of seasonal long-memory parameters*, preprint (2018). Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.11905>.
- [4] A. Anh, N. Leonenko, and A. Olenko, *On the rate of convergence to Rosenblatt-type distribution*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 425 (2015), pp. 111–132.
- [5] V. Anh, N. Leonenko, A. Olenko, and V. Vaskovych, *On rate of convergence in non-central limit theorems*, preprint (2019), to appear in Bernoulli. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.05900>.
- [6] S. Bai and M.S. Taqqu, *Multivariate limit theorems in the context of long-range dependence*, J. Time Series Anal. 34 (2013), pp. 717–743.
- [7] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, and J.L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [8] V.I. Bogachev, *Measure Theory*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [9] Y.A. Davydov, *The invariance principle for stationary processes*, Theory Probab. Appl. 15 (1970), pp. 487–498.
- [10] R.L. Dobrushin and P. Major, *Non-central limit theorems for non-linear functional of Gaussian fields*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 50 (1979), pp. 27–52.
- [11] P. Doukhan, G. Oppenheim, and M.S. Taqqu, *Theory and Applications of Long-Range Dependence*, Birkhuser, Boston, 2002.
- [12] N. Eisenbaum and H. Kaspi, *A characterization of the infinitely divisible squared Gaussian processes*, Ann. Probab. 34 (2006), pp. 728–742.
- [13] N. Eisenbaum and C.A. Tudor, *On squared fractional Brownian motions*, in Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, M. Émery, M. Ledoux, and M. Yor, eds., Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 282–289.

- [14] A. Ivanov and N. Leonenko, *Semiparametric analysis of long-range dependence in non-linear regression*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference. 138 (2008), pp. 1733–1753.
- [15] A. Ivanov and N. Leonenko, *Statistical Analysis of Random Fields*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [16] A. Ivanov, N. Leonenko, M.D. Ruiz-Medina, and I.N. Savich, *Limit theorems for weighted nonlinear transformations of Gaussian stationary processes with singular spectra*, Ann. Probab. 41 (2013), pp. 1088–1114.
- [17] A. Jazwinski, *Stochastic Processes and Filtering Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [18] G. Kallianpur, *Stochastic Filtering Theory*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [19] M. Kratz and S. Vadlamani, *Central limit theorems for Lipschitz–Killing curvatures of excursion sets of Gaussian random fields*, J. Theoret. Probab. 31 (2018), pp. 1729–1758.
- [20] N. Leonenko, *Limit Theorems for Random Fields with Singular Spectrum*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [21] N. Leonenko and A. Olenko, *Tauberian and Abelian theorems for long-range dependent random fields*, Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. 15 (2013), pp. 715–742.
- [22] N. Leonenko and A. Olenko, *Sojourn measures of Student and Fisher–Snedecor random fields*, Bernoulli. 20 (2014), pp. 1454–1483.
- [23] N. Leonenko, M.D. Ruiz-Medina, and M.S. Taqqu, *Rosenblatt distribution subordinated to Gaussian random fields with long-range dependence*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 35 (2017), pp. 144–177.
- [24] N. Leonenko E. Taufer, *Weak convergence of functionals of stationary long memory processes to Rosenblatt-type distributions*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference. 136 (2006), pp. 1220–1236.
- [25] N. Leonenko and W.A. Woyczynski, *Exact parabolic asymptotics for singular n -D Burgers' random fields: Gaussian approximation*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 76 (1998), pp. 141–165.
- [26] N. Leonenko and W.A. Woyczynski, *Scaling limits of solutions of the heat equation for singular non-Gaussian data*, J. Stat. Phys. 91 (1998), pp. 423–438.
- [27] G.-R. Liu and N.-R. Shieh, *Scaling limits for some P.D.E. systems with random initial conditions*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 28 (2010), pp. 505–522.
- [28] P. Major, *Limit theorems for non-linear functionals of Gaussian sequences*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 57 (1981), pp. 129–158.
- [29] I. Nourdin and M.S. Taqqu, *Central and non-central limit theorems in a free probability setting*, J. Theoret. Probab. 27 (2014), pp. 220–248.
- [30] A. Olenko, *Limit theorems for weighted functionals of cyclical long-range dependent random fields*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 31 (2013), pp. 199–213.
- [31] A. Olenko and B. Klykavka, *A limit theorem for random fields with a singularity in the spectrum*, Theory Probab. Math. Statist. 81 (2010), pp. 147–158.
- [32] A. Olenko and D. Omari, *Reduction principle for functionals of strong-weak dependent vector random fields*, preprint (2019).
- [33] A. Olenko and D. Omari, *Reduction principle for functionals of vector random fields*, preprint (2018), to appear in Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.11271>.
- [34] A. Olenko and V. Vaskovych, *Non-central limit theorems for functionals of random fields on hypersurfaces*, preprint (2018). Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.09285>.
- [35] M.S. Pakkanen and A. Réveillac, *Functional limit theorems for generalized variations of the fractional Brownian sheet*, Bernoulli. 22 (2016), pp. 1671–1708.
- [36] G. Peccati and M.S. Taqqu, *Wiener Chaos: Moments, Cumulants and Diagrams: A Survey with Computer Implementation*, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [37] M. Rosenblatt, *Limit theorems for Fourier transforms of functionals of Gaussian sequences*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 55 (1981), pp. 123–132.
- [38] M. Rosenblatt, *Some limit theorems for partial sums of quadratic forms in stationary Gaussian variables*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 49 (1979), pp. 125–132.
- [39] M. Rosenblatt, *Independence and dependence*, in Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. Probab., University of California Press, Berkeley, 1961, Vol. 2, pp. 431–443.

[40] M.S. Taqqu, *Self-similar processes and related ultraviolet and infrared catastrophes*, in *Random Fields: Rigorous Results in Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory*, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1981, Vol. 27, pp. 1057–1096.

[41] M.S. Taqqu, *Convergence of integrated processes of arbitrary Hermite rank*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 50 (1979), pp. 53–83.

[42] M.S. Taqqu, *A representation for self-similar processes*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 7 (1978), pp. 55–64.

[43] M.S. Taqqu, *Weak convergence to fractional Brownian motion and to the Rosenblatt process*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 31 (1975), pp. 287–302.

[44] M.S. Veillette and M.S. Taqqu, *Properties and numerical evaluation of the Rosenblatt distribution*, Bernoulli. 19 (2013), pp. 982–1005.

[45] N. Wiener, *Extrapolation, Interpolation, and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series with Engineering Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1949.

[46] M.I. Yadrenko, *Spectral Theory of Random Fields*, Optimization Software, New York, 1983.

The Authors' Response to Reviewer 1

We appreciate the amount of time and effort that you have given to this paper. We have revised the manuscript to reflect your suggestions. We made all required changes and corrections as listed below.

The authors should include a Final Comment section, where they discuss the extension of the derived results to more general frameworks, beyond the family of functions G considered, for filtering.

We added Section 6:

6. Discussion and possible extensions

In this paper, we studied the asymptotic behaviour of integral functionals of filtered random fields defined on increasing observation windows $\Delta(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $r > 0$. These integral functionals are defined as convolutions of non-random kernels with non-linear transformations of long-range dependent fields. It was shown that the limits are non-Gaussian self-similar processes. The explicit form of the Hurst parameter H of the obtained limit processes was obtained. The parameter H was given for several examples on the set Δ . It was demonstrated that the range $(\gamma(\Delta), 1)$ for H depends on the geometric properties of Δ and, contrary to the majority of results available in the literature, H can take values in the interval $(0, \frac{1}{2})$.

The weight function $G(\cdot)$ given in (6) is defined as the Fourier transform of the product of $h(\cdot)g(\cdot)$. The radial function h has a homogeneous behaviour of degree $\beta > 0$. It would be interesting to obtain similar results for other classes of weight functions. Furthermore, all results in this paper were obtained for integral functionals of scalar random fields. It would be interesting to generalise these results for the case of integral functionals of vector random fields. For this case, one can use the recent reduction approaches introduced by Olenko and Omari [32, 33]. Another possible extension is to consider random fields defined on hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n such as a spheres. In this case one has to generalise recent asymptotic results obtained by Olenko and Vaskovych [34].

We also corrected several other minor misprints.

We believe that the manuscript has been greatly improved and hope it has reached Stochastics journal's standards.

The Authors’ Response to Reviewer 2

1 We appreciate the amount of time and effort that you have given to this paper. Your comments were very helpful in
2 directing our attention to areas that required clarification and corrections. We have revised the manuscript to reflect
3 your suggestions.

4 We made all required changes and corrections as listed below.

- 5
6 • I would suggest the authors insert a Remark to explain the role of g .

7
8 *We added a Remark and now it is written:*

9 *“Remark 1. The weight function $G(\cdot)$ is introduced by using the Fourier transform of the product of $h(\cdot)g(\cdot)$.
10 This factorisation represents two types of behaviour: the function $h(\cdot)$ gives homogeneous behaviour of degree β ,
11 where the bounded function $g(\cdot)$ is used to incorporate all other features. In the following, it will be shown that the
12 limit process depends on the parameters β , $g(0)$ and $h(0)$. Namely, the degree β determines the normalisation
13 in the non-central limit theorem up to a constant multiplier that involves $g(0)$ and $h(0)$.”*

14
15 We also corrected several other minor misprints.

16 We believe that the manuscript has been greatly improved and hope it has reached Stochastics journal’s standards.

The Authors' Response to Reviewer 3

We appreciate the amount of time and effort that you have given to this paper. We have revised the manuscript to reflect your suggestions.

We made all required changes and corrections as listed below.

- Line 23, page 13: Add “to” after “is equal”

Now it is written as:

In particular, the variance of $X_{r,\kappa}(t)$ is...

- Line 10, page 18: absolute value of $a+b$ may be replaced by $a + b \neq 0$

Now it is written as:

Let $n = 1$ and Δ has the form $\Delta = [-b, a] \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $a, b > 0$.

- Several places in the paper: “Note, that” may be replaced by “Note that”, without the comma between “Note” and “that”.

We replaced “Note, that” by “Note that”.

We also corrected several other minor misprints.

We believe that the manuscript has been greatly improved and hope it has reached Stochastics journal’s standards.

The Authors' Response to Reviewer 4

We appreciate the amount of time and effort that you have given to this paper. Your detailed comments were very helpful in directing our attention to areas that required clarification and corrections. We have revised the manuscript to reflect your suggestions.

We made all required changes and corrections as listed below.

- P. 2, line 40. Replace “stationary in the wide sense” with “wide-sense stationary”.

Now it is written: “Let $V(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t-s)\xi(s)ds$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a linear filtered process, where $\xi(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a mean-square continuous wide-sense stationary process with zero mean and finite variance...”

- P. 6, line 20. Explain what do you mean by the field with absolutely continuous spectrum.

Now it is written:

“Definition 2.7. The spectrum of the random field $\xi(x)$ is absolutely continuous if there exists a function $f(u)$, $u \in [0, \infty)$, such that

$$u^{n-1}f(u) \in L_1([0, \infty)), \quad \Phi(u) = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2) \int_0^u z^{n-1}f(z)dz.”$$

- P. 7, line 8. replace “denote” with “define”.

Now it is written: “Let $S(\omega) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \phi(\omega)d\omega)$ and define the random variables K_r and $K_{r,\kappa}$ by...”

- P. 7, line 11. The domain of integration is $\Delta(r)$. Is it the same as $\Delta(r)$ in line 5?

The two integrations have the same domain $\Delta(r)$. The notation is corrected. Now it is written:

$$“K_r := \int_{\Delta(r)} S(\xi(x))dx \quad \text{and} \quad K_{r,\kappa} := \frac{C_\kappa}{\kappa!} \int_{\Delta(r)} H_\kappa(\xi(x))dx,”$$

- P. 7, line 32. If I understood correctly, Assumptions 1 and 2 are related by means of Abelian and Tauberian theorems. It could be good to add a paragraph with a short explanation of the subject for a non-specialist.

We have added a short paragraph and a reference. It is written as:

“Note that Assumptions 1 and 2 are connected by the so-called Tauberian-Abelian theorems [21]. In applications these two assumptions are usually considered to be equivalent and hence one of them might be sufficient in modelling various random data that exhibit long-range dependence properties. For example, if the spectral density $f(\cdot)$ is decreasing in a neighbourhood of zero and continuous (except at zero), then by Tauberian Theorem 4 [21] the both assumptions are simultaneously satisfied. However, in the general case, this equivalence is not true [4]. Therefore, the both assumptions are essential for formulating general results in this paper. One can find more details on relations between Assumptions 1 and 2 in [5, 21].”

The following reference was added

[21] N. Leonenko and A. Olenko, Tauberian and Abelian theorems for long-range dependent random fields, Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. 15 (2013), pp. 715–742.

- P. 10, line 25. What do you estimate here?

Now it is written: “Then, we get ... using recursion one obtains ...”

- P. 13, line 23. Delete “equal”.

Now it is written: “In particular, the variance of $X_{r,\kappa}(t)$ is”

- P. 16, line 20. Replace “pointwise converges to 1, when” with “converges to 1 pointwise, as”.

Now it is written as:

“Note that by Assumptions 1, 2, and properties of slowly varying functions $Q_r(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\kappa)})$ converges to 1 pointwise, as $r \rightarrow \infty$.”

We also corrected several other minor misprints.

We believe that the manuscript has been greatly improved and hope it has reached Stochastics journal's standards.